The Prevalence of Dental Midline Deviation in a Group of Orthodontic Patients
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The study aimed to determine the prevalence of dental midline deviation in an orthodontic group of patients. The study was performed on 384 orthodontic patients (164 boys and 220 girls), between 6-23 years old. The data collected from orthodontic records were analysed according to the gender, age stages, environments areas, type of dentition and Angle Classes of malocclusions. All analyses were carried out using the SPSS. In the studied group the prevalence of dental midline deviation was 20.70%. The prevalence of dental midline deviation was increased in girls (12.24%), in patients over 12 years old (8.35%), from urban areas (14.32%), with mixed dentition (11.45%) and with Class I (11.20%) and II division 1 (6.25%) malocclusions. We founded statistically significant high correlations between dental midline deviation and age stages (p=0.028), type of dentition (p=0.001) and Angle classes of malocclusions (p=0.006). The dental midline deviation, commonly encountered in orthodontic practice, must be accurately diagnosed from the beginning of the treatment because the symmetry of the midlines is an essential criterion in achieving the aesthetic and functional objectives of the orthodontic treatment.
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The need to improve facial aesthetics is one of the main reasons why patients are addressing the orthodontist, facial symmetry having a determining importance in facial aesthetics. Face symmetry and midline coordination are essential criteria for achieving harmony and facial balance. Aesthetic criteria require that certain facial landmarks of the face (the bisector of the pupil, nasion, tip of the nose, tip of the philtrum and chin) be placed in the same axis, forming facial midline [1,2]. Also for face symmetry the facial midline must be coincident with the maxillary and mandibular dental midline (dental midline is an imaginary line separating the two central incisors) in maxilla or mandible [3,4].

For each patient, there is a subtle degree of asymmetry, but the clinically noticeable deviation of the median structures (nose, upper lip philtrum, chin, or dental midline) is not considered normal. In orthodontic patients, the maxillary, mandibular dental midlines and the facial soft tissue midline often are not coincident with each other [5].

Often dental midline deviation, as well as drifting and tipping of teeth, asymmetries of dental arch are the consequences of compensatory changes produced intraorally by skeletal or dento-alveolar asymmetries.

Dental midline position relative to the facial midline is an important diagnostic feature in orthodontic treatment planning. Evaluation of dental midline position may be complicated because sometimes other midline facial structures are not well aligned. Correction of the dental midline deviation through orthodontic treatment often requires a complex biomechanics, difficult to achieve in the final stages of treatment, especially for an inexperienced orthodontist.

Although the dental midline deviation is commonly found in orthodontic practice, few studies have studied the prevalence of this anomaly, with an important role in facial aesthetics due to the dominant attribution of the anterior teeth while smiling and function [6-11].

Aim of our study was to establish the prevalence of dental midline deviation in mixed and permanent dentition in a group of orthodontic patients from the north-eastern region of Romania.
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Experimental part

Materials and methods
We performed a retrospective study on a group of 384 orthodontic patients (164 boys and 220 girls), aged 6 to 23 (mean age 10.11±2.97 years), from the north-eastern region of Romania. The patients came from different areas (273 urban and 111 rural areas patients) and asked for orthodontic treatment in the Department of Orthodontics, Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iasi, during 2014-2016 period. All the patients included in the study were Caucasian and had no history of orthodontic treatment, no evidence of any syndrome, craniofacial malformation, or obvious facial asymmetry. From each patient who participated in the study informed consent was obtained. All data required for the study were collected from patients’ orthodontic records. In order to be able to carry out the study the patients were classified according to gender (42.70% boys and 57.30% girls), age intervals corresponding to the stages of dental permutation (6-7 years = 18.20% patients, 8-9 years = 29.70% patients, 10-11 years = 23.40% patients and >12 years = 28.60% patients), environmental areas (urban area = 71.10% patients and rural area = 28.90% patients), dental permutation (6-7 years = 57.30% patients, 8-9 years = 69.80% patients, class II division 1 = 8.50% patients, class II division 2 = 6.80% patients and class III = 4.90% patients). All analyses were carried out using the SPSS 20.0 for Windows (Armonk, NY, USA). The statistical evaluation of obtained data was done by descriptive statistics, Chi-square Pearson Tests, Fischer exact tests; any values less than p<0.05 were interpreted as statistically significant.

Results and discussions
In the studied group, the dental midline deviation was observed in 8.30% (n=32) patients in the mandible and in 12.50% (n=47) patients in the maxillary, resulting in 20.70% (n=79) of the patients having the dental midline deviation (Fig. 1), interval of deviation being between 0.4 mm (mean 0.40±0.86).
In girls the deviation of dental midline was more frequent (12.24%, n = 47) than in boys (8.33%, n = 32 boys) (fig. 2 and table 1).

The deviation of dental midline in the dental arch changed with age: at 6-7 years old were 2.08% (n=8) of the patients who had this anomaly, 5.20% (n=20) of the patients at 8-9 years old, 4.95% (n=19) patients aged 10-11 years and 8.35% (n=32) patients over 12 years of age (fig. 3 and table 1).

The patients from urban area were more affected (14.32%, n=55) compared to those in rural area (6.25%, n=24) (fig. 4 and table 1).

In the study group, 44 patients (11.46%) with mixed dentition and 35 patients (9.11%) with permanent dentition showed dental midline deviation (fig. 5 and table 1).

The dental midline deviation was observed in 11.20% (n=45) of patients with Angle Class I and 6.25% (n=24) of patients with class II division 1 malocclusion (fig. 6 and table 1).

The Chi-square tests showed statistically significant high correlations between dental midline deviation and age stages (p=0.028); Cramer coefficient (value of 0.153) indicates a weak relation, statistically significant between dental midline deviation and age stages of patients (table 1).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Dental midline deviation</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Pearson Chi Square test</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>34.38</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>45.05</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age stages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9 years</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>24.48</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-11 years</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 years</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>20.31</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14.32</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>56.77</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentition types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>59.64</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>permanent</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>19.79</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle malocclusions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>58.59</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II division 1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II division 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistically significant differences when p<0.05
Aesthetically evident and is perceived by the patient and the population [14]. Dental midline discrepancy is maxillary and mandibular midlines coincide only to 1/4 of exactly in the middle of about 70% of the person, but the Miller et al. indicate that the maxillary medial line is located essential criteria for achieving harmony and facial balance. Miller et al. indicate that the maxillary medial line is located essential criteria for achieving harmony and facial balance.

In this study we statistically evaluated a group of orthodontic patients in order to determine the prevalence of dental midline deviation, according to gender, age stages, environment areas, types of dentition and Angle classes of malocclusions. Based on the data collected, 1/5 of the total patients presented the dental midline deviation, this being greater in the maxilla than the mandible. In girls the deviation of the dental midline was more frequent than in boys. The deviation of dental midline increased with age, the highest prevalence was in patients older than 12 years and in permanent dentition. In patients from urban area, dental midline deviation was more frequent than those from rural area. Deviation of dental midline was more frequent in patients with mixed dentition than those with permanent dentition and with Angle Class I and Class II division 1 malocclusions. Statistically significant high correlations were obtained between dental midline deviation and age stages, type of dentition and Angle classes of malocclusions. The explanation of these statistically significant correlations is related to the fact that after 12 years dental anomalies of the number, shape, dental volume, position, inclusion, dental crowding and the consequences of premature loss of temporary teeth causing the deviation of the dental midline are completely established.

Conclusions
Dental midline deviation was more common in patients over 12 years of age and in Angle Class I and II division 1 malocclusions.

Dental midline deviation is correlated statistically significantly with age stages, type of dentition and Angle classes of malocclusion.

Dental midline deviation warrant special consideration in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning process.

References

Manuscript received: 15.08.2018